# Quality Control Development for MuPix11 for the Mu3e Detector

Anna Lelia Fuchs | PI Uni Heidelberg

On behalf of the Mu3e collaboration





# The Mu3e Experiment

- Search for the cLFV  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+e^-e^+$  decay
- SM Branching fraction < 1 x 10<sup>-54</sup>
- Evidence of physics beyond the standard model.
- Tracking detector requirements:
  - Momentum resolution < 0.5 MeV</li>
  - Low material budget



K. Arndt et al.

# The Mu3e Experiment

- Search for the cLFV  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+e^-e^+$  decay
- SM Branching fraction <1 x 10<sup>-54</sup>
- Evidence of physics beyond the standard model.
- Tracking detector requirements:
  - Momentum resolution < 0.5 MeV
  - Low material budget



K. Arndt et al.

# This will be achieved using 2844 MuPix11 pixel sensors.

A pixel sensor with High-Voltage Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor (HV-MAPS) technology at  $50 \,\mu\text{m}$  /  $70 \,\mu\text{m}$ .



A pixel sensor with High-Voltage Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor (HV-MAPS) technology at 50  $\mu m$  / 70  $\mu m.$ 

HV-MAPS feature:

- A high reverse bias voltage.
- Integration of readout electronics on the sensor.



A pixel sensor with High-Voltage Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor (HV-MAPS) technology at  $50 \,\mu\text{m}$  /  $70 \,\mu\text{m}$ .

HV-MAPS feature:

- A high reverse bias voltage.
- Integration of readout electronics on the sensor.



A pixel sensor with High-Voltage Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor (HV-MAPS) technology at  $50 \,\mu\text{m}$  /  $70 \,\mu\text{m}$ .

HV-MAPS feature:

- A high reverse bias voltage.
- Integration of readout electronics on the sensor.



The functionality of each sensor must be verified before installation.

Aim: To evaluate if a sensor can perform the functions necessary for operation in the Mu3e detector.



QC development for MuPix11 | Anna Lelia Fuchs | PI Uni Heidelberg

Aim: To evaluate if a sensor can perform the functions necessary for operation in the Mu3e detector.

• Five individual chip tests to observe key functions.



Aim: To evaluate if a sensor can perform the functions necessary for operation in the Mu3e detector.

- Five individual chip tests to observe key functions.
- Sensors are categorised as passed, passed with limitations or failed for each test.



Aim: To evaluate if a sensor can perform the functions necessary for operation in the Mu3e detector.

- Five individual chip tests to observe key functions.
- Sensors are categorised as passed, passed with limitations or failed for each test.
- A sensor which passes all tests passes the quality control.



• Temporary, non-invasive connection

- Temporary, non-invasive connection
- Probe card with a needle mechanism

- Temporary, non-invasive connection
- Probe card with a needle mechanism



#### Probe card for MuPix11QC

<u>L. Vigani</u>

- Temporary, non-invasive connection
- Probe card with a needle mechanism



- Temporary, non-invasive connection
- Probe card with a needle mechanism
- Quick testing of multiple chips



Developing evaluation strategies

Improving for accuracy

Optimisation for speed

QC development for MuPix11 | Anna Lelia Fuchs | PI Uni Heidelberg

QC Development | 6

Developing evaluation strategies

Improving for accuracy

Optimisation for speed

- Identify key functions
- Identify functionality indicators
- Quantify desired output

QC development for MuPix11 | Anna Lelia Fuchs | PI Uni Heidelberg

QC Development | 6

Developing evaluation strategies

Improving for accuracy

#### Optimisation for speed

- Identify key functions
- Identify functionality indicators
- Quantify desired output

- Investigation of failure modes
- Reduction of errors in testing
- Understanding component failures

Developing evaluation strategies

Improving for accuracy

Optimisation for speed

- Identify key functions
- Identify functionality indicators
- Quantify desired output

- Investigation of failure modes
- Reduction of errors in testing
- Understanding component failures

- Target functionality indicators
- Remove excess measurements

QC development for MuPix11 | Anna Lelia Fuchs | PI Uni Heidelberg

QC Development | 7

Tested function:

Can the sensor deplete pixels to sufficient sensitivity while maintaining a low leakage current?

#### Tested function:

Can the sensor deplete pixels to sufficient sensitivity while maintaining a low leakage current?

#### Procedure:

- Increase bias voltage in set intervals and measure the leakage current.
- Stop when the current limit is reached.

#### Tested function:

Can the sensor deplete pixels to sufficient sensitivity while maintaining a low leakage current?

#### Procedure:

- Increase bias voltage in set intervals and measure the leakage current.
- Stop when the current limit is reached.



#### Tested function:

Can the sensor deplete pixels to sufficient sensitivity while maintaining a low leakage current?

#### Procedure:

- Increase bias voltage in set intervals and measure the leakage current.
   Stop when the current limit is reached.
   Evaluation:

   Is a switchle bias voltage reached?
  - Is a suitable bias voltage reached?
  - What is the necessary bias voltage?

IV Curve

Current Limit

Breakdown

Damage

Region Final

1

2

2

QC development for MuPix11 | Anna Lelia Fuchs | PI Uni Heidelberg

QC Development | 8

Fixed contact strategy

QC development for MuPix11 | Anna Lelia Fuchs | PI Uni Heidelberg

QC Development | 8

Fixed contact strategy

- Same pressure applied to every sensor.
- Contact is a frequent failure mode.



- Same pressure applied to every sensor.
- Contact is a frequent failure mode.



- Same pressure applied to every sensor.
- Contact is a frequent failure mode.



- Same pressure applied to every sensor.
- Contact is a frequent failure mode.

#### Flexible contact strategy



- Same pressure applied to every sensor.
- Contact is a frequent failure mode.

#### Flexible contact strategy

- Individual pressure applied to each sensor.
- Stable contact reduces false failures

#### QC development for MuPix11 | Anna Lelia Fuchs | PI Uni Heidelberg

QC Development | 8



- Same pressure applied to every sensor.
- Contact is a frequent failure mode.



- Individual pressure applied to each sensor.
- Stable contact reduces false failures

#### QC development for MuPix11 | Anna Lelia Fuchs | PI Uni Heidelberg

#### QC Development | 8

The improvements to the quality control procedure led to a more effective evaluation.

The improvements to the quality control procedure led to a more effective evaluation.

• The 70 µm QC yield improved to **66%**.

The improvements to the quality control procedure led to a more effective evaluation.

• The 70 µm QC yield improved to 66%.



The improvements to the quality control procedure led to a more effective evaluation.

• The 70 µm QC yield improved to 66%.



The improvements to the quality control procedure led to a more effective evaluation.

The 70 μm QC yield improved to 66%.



QC development for MuPix11 | Anna Lelia Fuchs | PI Uni Heidelberg

Yield artificially reduced by light effects



**B. Weinläder** 

The developed quality control procedure accurately evaluates MuPix11 functionality.



**B. Weinläder** 

The developed quality control procedure accurately evaluates MuPix11 functionality.

• The preliminary quality control yield is **66%**.



**B. Weinläder** 

The developed quality control procedure accurately evaluates MuPix11 functionality.

- The preliminary quality control yield is **66%**.
- Most common failures:
  - Powering, LVDS links, analogue circuitry.



The developed quality control procedure accurately evaluates MuPix11 functionality.

- The preliminary quality control yield is **66%**.
- Most common failures:
  - Powering, LVDS links, analogue circuitry.
- The yield can be artificially reduced by light effects.



The developed quality control procedure accurately evaluates MuPix11 functionality.

- The preliminary quality control yield is **66%**.
- Most common failures:
  - Powering, LVDS links, analogue circuitry.
- The yield can be artificially reduced by light effects.
- The QC yield is relevant for production plans.



The developed quality control procedure accurately evaluates MuPix11 functionality.

- The preliminary quality control yield is **66%**.
- Most common failures:
  - Powering, LVDS links, analogue circuitry.
- The yield can be artificially reduced by light effects.
- The QC yield is relevant for production plans.
- Current stage:
  - Ladder production



The developed quality control procedure accurately evaluates MuPix11 functionality.

- The preliminary quality control yield is **66%**.
- Most common failures:
  - Powering, LVDS links, analogue circuitry.
- The yield can be artificially reduced by light effects.
- The QC yield is relevant for production plans.
- Current stage:
  - Ladder production



T. Rudzki

The developed quality control procedure accurately evaluates MuPix11 functionality.

- The preliminary quality control yield is **66%**.
- Most common failures:
  - Powering, LVDS links, analogue circuitry.
- The yield can be artificially reduced by light effects.
- The QC yield is relevant for production plans.
- Current stage:
  - Ladder production
  - Optimisation for speed



T. Rudzki





# Bibliography

Sources directly used in this presentation. For all contributing sources, see thesis bibliography.

- G. Hernández-Tomé, G. López Castro, and P. Roig. "Flavor violating leptonic decays of τ and μ leptons in the Standard Model with massive neutrinos". DOI: 10.1140 / epjc / s10052 - 019 - 6563 - 4.
- A. Blondel et al. Research Proposal for an Experiment to Search for the Decay μ- > eee.
   2013. arXiv: 1301.6113 [physics.ins-det].
- K. Arndt et al. "Technical design of the phase I Mu3e experiment". doi: 10.1016/j.nima.2021.165679.
- I. Perić, "A novel monolithic pixelated particle detector implemented in high-voltage CMOS technology", 2007.

### The MuPix11 Quality Control Tests

Each test evaluates a functions required for successful operation.

Test	Key Function	Fail Criteria
IV Scan	Pixel biasing for hit detection	High leakage current
LV Power- On	Powering of on-chip circuitry	Unsuitable LV current
Internal Voltages	Optimisation of the supply voltages	Incorrect voltages received
VDAC Scans	Setting of key voltages by	Unsuitable voltage
	Digital-to-Analogue Voltage Converters.	or current response
LVDS Links	Data Transmission	Errors in transmitted data

#### Improving for accuracy: the VDAC Scans

The VDAC scans investigate key components of the on-chip circuitry.

The VSS scan shows the current supplied to the amplifier against the VSS setting.



#### The VDAC Scan

The VDAC scan investigates key components of the on-chip circuitry.

**Observations:** 

- Localised component failures.
- Most failures for analogue circuitry components.
- Higher failure rates for 50 µm.

<u>Context:</u>

- Significant short risk for the analogue domain.
- 50 µm sensors experience more thinning.
- Low sample size.

		Number of Failures	
VDAC	Location	$70\mu{ m m}$	$50\mu{ m m}$
VSS1	Pixel	4	10
VSS2	Pixel	4	10
BLPix	Pixel	6	10
Baseline	Periphery	3	7
ThHigh	Periphery	2	7
ThLow	Periphery	2	7
Total sensors:		44	44

#### Failure profile: 50 µm sensors

The 50  $\mu$ m yield is limited by the IV scan.

The low yield accurately describes the observed behaviour of the sensors.

Why do the sensors show high leakage currents?

- Functionality?
- Systematic error?



## Light dependency

Light dependency of the leakage current is inherent to silicon semiconductor pixels.

- ~2 mm diameter hole on the probe card lid.
- The 50 µm wafer was tested with the ceiling light switched on.
- The effect of light incident through the lid was investigated.



Light incident on the setup caused functional sensors to fail the IV scan.

The 50 µm yield can be improved

#### Yield estimate: Comparison with trends

Conservative estimate for improved IV yield: 75%

- Lowest yield 70 µm: 82%
- Total yield 70 µm: 66%

Estimate for overall 50 yield: 59%

	$50\mu\mathrm{m}$ Yield (%)	
Test	Previous	Improved
IV Scan	53.2	54.5
LV Power-On	70.9	77.3
Internal Voltages	51.9	79.5
VDAC Scan	55.7	75.0
LVDS Links	74.7	75.0

	70 µm Yield (%)	
Test	Previous	Improved
IV Scan	65.9	90.9
LV Power-On	65.5	81.8
Internal Voltages	70.1	88.6
VDAC Scan	65.5	81.8
LVDS Links	65.5	81.8

# Thinning damage

- Grinding
  - $\circ$  Grooves
  - SSC
  - $\circ$  Warping



- Plasma- etching
  - Etching pits





### Contribution to leakage current

- Diffusion of minority charge carriers
- Volume leakage
  - ~√(Ubias)
  - ~T
  - Saturates at full depletion
- Surface contribution
  - Relevant after full depletion
  - Linear increase





#### K-Value analysis of the IV Scan

QC development for MuPix11 | Anna Lelia Fuchs | Pl Uni Heidelberg

#### **Evaluation of the IV Scan**





QC development for MuPix11 | Anna Lelia Fuchs | Pl Uni Heidelberg







QC development for MuPix11 | Anna Lelia Fuchs | Pl Uni Heidelberg

#### **Depletion simulations**



A. Meneses

### MuPix11 Detail

Pixel size ~ 80 x 80 microns

Feature	Target
Sensor dimensions [mm]	$\leq 21 \ge 23$
Sensor size [mm]	$\approx 20 \ge 20$
Thickness $[\mu m]$	$\leq 50$
Spatial resolution $[\mu m]$	$\leq 30$
Time resolution [ns]	$\leq 20$
Hit efficiency [%]	> 99
Number of LVDS links	1
(inner layers)	(3)
Bandwidth per link [Gbit/s]	$\geq 1.25$
Power density $[mW/cm^2]$	$\leq 350$
Operation temperature range [°C]	0 - 70

#### New evaluation strategy: VDAC



#### New evaluation strategy: VDAC



#### K-Value analysis of the IV Scan

Aim: to find the limits of the damage region to find a point of stable IV behaviour

$$K = \left(\frac{|\Delta I|}{|\Delta V|}\right) * \left|\frac{V}{I}\right|$$



#### Failure profile: 70 µm sensors

Most common failures: the LV power-on test and the VDAC scan .

The LV power-on yield can be improved slightly:

- Some sensors recover the LV current after voltage optimisation.
- This shows functionality.
- A chip recovery strategy is suggested.
- Powering remains a key failure mode.

	$70\mu\mathrm{m}$ Yield (%)	
Test	Strong	Weak
IV Scan	88.6	90.9
LV Power-On	79.5	81.8
Internal Voltages	86.4	88.6
VDAC Scan	79.5	81.8
LVDS Links	81.8	81.8



### 7-Step Power-On

- Powering of individual components unsuccessful
- Unoptimised supply voltage
- Can be repeated for evaluation
- Not necessary
- Already evaluated by other tests



#### Heidelberg 08.01.2024 | Anna Lelia Fuchs | Pl Uni Heidelberg