PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT



Yi Wan, Dr. Martin Densing :: Energy Economic Group, LEA

Market equilibria of prosumers under market power: Analysis of combined oligopoly and oligopsony in electricity markets 30.08.2023



# Introduction



### Demand side market power:

- flexibility resources
- combined oligopoly and oligoposony markets

The ability of vertically integrated players to exercise market power is missing.

### Capacity constrained Cournot game:

- characteristics and uniqueness
- effects of capacity constrains on strategies

The implications within the context of a double-sided Cournot game and a doubleside player is missing.

### Vertical integration:

- between generator and retailer
- impacts on market price, market power, social welfare and long-term investment decisions

The effects of players production constrains is missing.





Model setup Assumptions

### Market setting:

A wholesale electricity market, where the released production can be sold to a retail market at a fixed price,  $\alpha$ .

• **Demand side:** linear inverse demand curve,  $p = p(Q) = p_0 - \beta Q$ , where Q =

 $q - q_r$ .

(q: electricity generation,  $q_r$ : released generation, p: electricity market price,  $\beta$ : slope of the demand curve,  $p_0$ : intercept of the demand curve)

• Supply side: linear cost generation

### Player scenarios:

Players produce/consume homogenous products under capacity constraints to maximize their profits.

- Integrated player: owns both traditional generation plants and flexible demand
- Separated players: different players own traditional generation plants and flexible demand separately
- To better model the market power level of market participants, we introduce the **conjectural variation (CV)** approach.



#### Integrated player Separated players Producer: max cq - pq $\max(c-p)q + (p-\alpha)q_r$ s.t. $0 \le q \le X$ s.t. $\begin{cases} 0 \le q \le X \\ 0 \le q_r \le X_r \end{cases}$ $\theta = -\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial p}{\partial q}$ $\theta = -\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial p}{\partial q} = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial p}{\partial q_r}$ Consumer: max $pq_r - \alpha q_r$ s.t. $0 \le q_r \le X_r$ $\theta_r = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial p}{\partial q_r}$ electricity generation retailed hydrogen price, $0 < \alpha$ α q released generation β slope of the demand curve, $0 < \beta$ **q**<sub>r</sub> electricity generation cost, 0 < cintercept of the demand curve, $0 < p_0$ С $\mathbf{p}_0$ Х electricity price production capacity, 0 < Xр X, released production capacity, $0 < X_r$ $\theta, \theta_r$ CV parameters, $\in [0,1]$

Introduction

Model

Conclusions



Optimal strategies of integrated players

-	Case	a	$q_r$	Condition (KKT of $q_1$ or $q_r$ )
=	1	4		
_	1	0	0	$\alpha \le p_0 \le c$
	2	$\frac{1}{1+\theta}\frac{1}{\beta}(p_0-c)$	0	$\alpha \leq c$
		. ,		$0 < \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - c) < X \ (\Rightarrow p_0 > c)$
	3	0	$\frac{1}{1+\theta}\frac{1}{\beta}(\alpha-p_0)$	$c \ge \alpha$
				$0 < \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (\alpha - p_0) < X_r \ (\Rightarrow \alpha > p_0)$
_	4	X	0	$X \le \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - c) \ (\Rightarrow p_0 > c)$
				$X \le \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - \alpha) \ (\Rightarrow p_0 > \alpha)$
	5	0	$X_r$	$X_r \le \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (c - p_0) \ (\Rightarrow c \ge p_0)$
				$X_r \le \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (\alpha - p_0) \ (\Rightarrow \alpha \ge p_0)$
-	6	q (free)	$q - \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - c)$	$c = \alpha$
				0 < q < X
				$\frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - c) < q < X_r + \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - c)$
	7	X	$X - \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\theta} (p_0 - \alpha)$	$\alpha \ge c$
			1100	$\frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - \alpha) < X < X_r + \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - \alpha)$
-	8	$X_r + \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - c)$	$X_r$	$\alpha \ge c$
		- 1 - 1		$0 < X_r + \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - c) < X$
	9	X	$X_r$	$\alpha \ge c$
				$X \le X_r + \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - c)$
				$X \ge X_r + \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - \alpha)$

Introduction >



# Optimal strategies of separated players

Case	q	$q_r$	Condition (KKT of $q$ , or $q_r$ )
1	0	0	$\alpha \le p_0 \le c$
2	$\frac{1}{1+\theta}\frac{1}{\beta}(p_0-c)$	0	$c \ge \alpha - \theta(p_0 - \alpha)$
			$0 < \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - c) < X  (\Rightarrow c < p_0 \stackrel{(q_r)}{\Rightarrow} \alpha < p_0)$
3	0	$\frac{1}{1+\theta_r}\frac{1}{\beta}(\alpha-p_0)$	$c \ge \alpha + \theta_r(p_0 - c)$
			$0 < \frac{1}{1+\theta_r} \frac{1}{\beta} (\alpha - p_0) < X_r \ (\Rightarrow \alpha > p_0 \Rightarrow c > p_0)$
4	X	0	$X \le \frac{1}{1+\theta} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - c)  (\Rightarrow c < p_0)$
			$X \le \frac{1}{\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)  (\Rightarrow \alpha < p_0)$
5	0	$X_r$	$X_r \le \frac{1}{\beta}(c - p_0) \ (\Rightarrow c \ge p_0)$
			$X_r \le \frac{1}{1+\theta_r} \frac{1}{\beta} (\alpha - p_0) \ (\Rightarrow \alpha \ge p_0)$
6	$\frac{1}{\theta\theta_r + \theta + \theta_r} \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \alpha - c + \theta_r (p_0 - c) \right)$	$\frac{1}{\theta\theta_r + \theta + \theta_r} \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \alpha - c - \theta(p_0 - \alpha) \right)$	Market power: $\neg(\theta = \theta_r = 0)$ :
			$0 < \frac{1}{\theta \theta_r + \theta + \theta_r} \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \alpha - c + \theta_r (p_0 - c) \right) < X \ (\Rightarrow \alpha > c - \theta_r (p_0 - c))$
			$0 < \frac{1}{\theta\theta_r + \theta + \theta_r} \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \alpha - c - \theta(p_0 - \alpha) \right) < X_r \ (\Rightarrow c < \alpha - \theta(p_0 - \alpha))$
6	$q ({\rm free})$	$q - \frac{1}{\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$	Perfect competition: $\theta = \theta_r = 0$ :
			$c = \alpha$
			0 < q < X
			$\frac{1}{\beta}(p_0 - \alpha) < q < X_r + \frac{1}{\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$
7	X	$\frac{1}{1+\theta_r}X - \frac{1}{1+\theta_r}\frac{1}{\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$	$\begin{cases} X \leq \frac{1}{\theta \theta_r + \theta + \theta_r} \dot{\beta} \left( \alpha - c + \theta_r (p_0 - c) \right) & \text{if } \neg (\theta = \theta_r = 0),  (\Rightarrow \alpha > c - \theta_r (p_0 - c)) \\ \alpha \geq c & \text{if } \theta = \theta_r = 0 \end{cases}$
			$0 < \frac{1}{1+\theta_r} X - \frac{1}{1+\theta_r} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - \alpha) < X_r  (\stackrel{(q)}{\Rightarrow} c < \alpha - \theta(p_0 - \alpha))$
8	$\frac{1}{1-c}X_r + \frac{1}{1-c}\frac{1}{2}(p_0 - c)$	X <sub>n</sub>	$\int X_r \leq \frac{1}{\theta \theta_r + \theta + \theta_r} \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \alpha - c - \theta(p_0 - \alpha) \right)  \text{if } \neg (\theta = \theta_r = 0), \ (\Rightarrow c < \alpha - \theta(p_0 - \alpha))$
Ŭ	$1+\theta^{-1}$ , $1+\theta^{-1}$ , $0^{-1}$		$ \left\{ \alpha \ge c \qquad \qquad \text{if}  \theta = \theta_r = 0 \right. $
			$0 < \frac{1}{1+\theta}X_r + \frac{1}{1+\theta}\frac{1}{\beta}(p_0 - c) < X$
9	X	$X_r$	$X \leq \frac{1}{\theta \theta_r + \theta_r + \theta_r} \frac{1}{\beta} (\alpha - c + \theta_r (p_0 - c)) \text{ if } \neg (\theta = \theta_r = 0) \ (\Rightarrow \alpha > c - \theta_r (p_0 - c))$
			$X_r \leq \frac{1}{\theta\theta_r + \theta + \theta_r} \frac{1}{\beta} (\alpha - c - \theta(p_0 - \alpha)) \text{ if } \neg (\theta = \theta_r = 0) \ (\Rightarrow c < \alpha - \theta(p_0 - \alpha))$
			$X \le \frac{1}{1+\theta}X_r + \frac{1}{1+\theta}\frac{1}{\theta}(p_0 - c)$
			$X_r \leq \frac{1}{1+\theta_r} X - \frac{1}{1+\theta_r} \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - \alpha) \; (\Rightarrow X > \frac{1}{\beta} (p_0 - \alpha))$

Introduction >

Results

Conclusions



# Optimal strategies of integrated and separated players

Comparing the strategies of integrated player and separated players, we found:

- If  $\theta = \theta_r = 0$ , the strategies of integrated and separated players converge.
- For the integrated player, only net-generation matters.



### Outcomes focusing on **Power-to-H**<sub>2</sub> **players** Conditions: c<a<po, c+po<2a ( $\theta = \theta_r = 1$ )

$\mathbf{Region}$	Conditions	Optimal solutions		
$(Integrated \cap Separated)$		Integrated	Separated	
$(4 \cap 4)$	$X \leq rac{1}{2eta}(p_0 - lpha)$	q = X	q = X	
		$q_r = 0$	$q_r = 0$	
$(9 \cap 4)$	$\frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - \alpha) < X$	q = X	q = X	
	$\overline{X} < \frac{1}{\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$	$q_r = X_r$	$q_r = 0$	
	$X_r < X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$			
$(7 \cap 4)$	$\frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - \alpha) < X$	q = X	q = X	
	$\overline{X} < rac{1}{eta}(p_0 - lpha)$	$q_r = X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$	$q_r = 0$	
	$X_r > X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$	-		
$(9 \cap 9)$	$\frac{1}{\beta}(p_0 - \alpha) < X$	q = X	q = X	
	$\tilde{X} < \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - c)$	$q_r = X_r$	$q_r = X_r$	
	$X_r < \frac{1}{2}X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$			
	$X_r > 2X - \frac{1}{\beta}(p_0 - c)$			
$(9 \cap 7)$	$\frac{\frac{1}{\beta}(p_0 - \alpha) < X}{\frac{1}{\beta}(p_0 - \alpha) < X}$	q = X	q = X	
	$X < \frac{1}{3\beta}(\alpha + p_0 - 2c)$	$q_r = X_r$	$q_r = \frac{1}{2}X - \frac{1}{26}(p_0 - \alpha)$	
	$X_r > \frac{1}{2}X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$		$2 2p \alpha = \gamma$	
	$X_r < X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$			
$(7 \cap 7)$	$rac{1}{B}(p_0-lpha) < X$	q = X	q = X	
	$X < \frac{1}{2\beta}(\alpha + p_0 - 2c)$	$q_r = X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$	$q_r = \frac{1}{2}X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$	
	$X_r > X - rac{1}{2eta}(p_0 - lpha)$	20	- 2 20	
$(8 \cap 8)$	$X > \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - c)$	$q = X_r + \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - c)$	$q = \frac{1}{2}X_r + \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - c)$	
	$X_r < X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - c)$	$q_r = X_r$	$q_r = X_r$	
	$X_r < \frac{1}{3\beta}(2\alpha - p_0 - c)$			
$(9 \cap 8)$	$X > \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - c)$	q = X	$q = \frac{1}{2}X_r + \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - c)$	
	$X_r < 2\tilde{X} - \frac{1}{\beta}(p_0 - c)$	$q_r = X_r$	$q_r = X_r$	
	$X_r > X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - c)$			
	$X_r < \frac{1}{3\beta}(2\alpha - p_0 - c)$			
$(9 \cap 6)$	$X > \frac{3}{3\beta}(\alpha + p_0 - 2c)$	q = X	$q = \frac{1}{3\beta}(\alpha + p_0 - 2c)$	
	$X_r > X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - c)$	$q_r = X_r$	$q_r = \frac{1}{3\beta}(2\alpha - p_0 - c)$	
	$X_r < X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$		<b>0</b> <i>p</i> .	
	$X_r > \frac{1}{3\beta} (2\alpha - p_0 - c)$			
$(7 \cap 6)$	$X > \frac{1}{3\beta}(\alpha + p_0 - 2c)$	q = X	$q = \frac{1}{3\beta}(\alpha + p_0 - 2c)$	
	$X_r > X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$	$q_r = X - rac{1}{2eta}(p_0 - lpha)$	$q_r = rac{1}{3eta}(2lpha - p_0 - c)$	
$(8 \cap 6)$	$X_r < X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - c)$	$q = X_r + \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - c)$	$q = \frac{1}{3\beta}(\alpha + p_0 - 2c)$	
	$X_r > \frac{1}{3\beta}(2\overline{\alpha} - p_0 - c)$	$q_r = X_r$	$q_r = \frac{1}{3\beta}(2\alpha - p_0 - c)$	

Page 8

Outcome regions (11 regions)



Page 9







# Outcomes focusing on Power-to-H<sub>2</sub> players



PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT

### Outcomes focusing on Power-to-H<sub>2</sub> players



# Outcomes focusing on Power-to-H<sub>2</sub> players

When players have relatively small consumption capacities,

PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT





### Outcomes focusing on Power-to-H<sub>2</sub> players

(7,6)

Xr

Regions	Prices	Optimal solutions		
Integrated ∩ Separated		Integrated	Separated	(9.6)
7∩6	$\frac{1}{3}(p_0 + \alpha + c) = p_S < p_I$ $= \frac{1}{2}(p_0 + \alpha)$	$q = X$ $q_r = X - \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - \alpha)$	$q = \frac{1}{3\beta}(p_0 + \alpha - 2c)$ $q_r = \frac{1}{3\beta}(2\alpha - p_0 - c)$	
9∩6	$\frac{1}{2}(p_0 + c) < p_I < \frac{1}{2}(p_0 + \alpha),$ $p_S = \frac{1}{3}(p_0 + \alpha + c)$	$q = X$ $q_r = X_r$	$q = \frac{1}{3\beta}(p_0 + \alpha - 2c)$ $q_r = \frac{1}{3\beta}(2\alpha - p_0 - c)$	(8,6)
8∩6	$\frac{1}{2}(p_0 + c) = p_I < p_S$ = $\frac{1}{3}(p_0 + \alpha + c)$	$q = X_r + \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_0 - c)$ $q_r = X_r$	$q = \frac{1}{3\beta} (p_0 + \alpha - 2c)$ $q_r = \frac{1}{3\beta} (2\alpha - p_0 - c)$	.8)
$\frac{1}{2\beta}($	p0-α) <u>1</u> (p0-α)	$\frac{1}{2\beta}$ (p0-c) $\frac{1}{3\beta}$ (c	x+p0-2c)	Page 1







In summary,

- Without market power, the market outcomes in the case of integrated player and separated players are equal.
- **Production, released production level and total profits** in the integrated player case are larger than in the separated players' case.
- Whether the **social welfare** in the case of the integrated player is greater than that in the separated players is ambiguous.
  - Not only the technology configuration but also the proportional scale of different technologies employed by the players play an important role in energy industrial design.

Work not covered in the talk:

- Effects of increased market power levels on players' behaviours
- Nash-Cournot game vs Stackelberg game

Model

Introduction



Thank you very much for your attention.

Yi Wan yi.wan@psi.ch

Martin Densing martin.densing@psi.ch

Energy Economics Group, Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI)



# Numerical results when many large-scale P2X facilities join the markets



• Separated players: different players own traditional generation plants and P2X plants separately

FA

Prices

SP

Electrolyser

SU

WI



- Should i change the title to :Comparison of integrated and separated production and consumption players in Nash-Cournot equilibrium under capacity constraints
- Change to a better figure